

AO 247 (02/08) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of Virginia

United States of America

v.

Victor Jermaine Lipford

Date of Previous Judgment: March 28, 2000

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

)

)

)

)

)

)

Case No: 7:97CR40008-010

USM No: 07157-084

Defendant's Attorney

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT
AT DANVILLE, VA

FILED

MAR 24 2008

JOHN F. GORCORAN, CLERK

BY:

DEPUTY CLERK

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☒ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months is reduced to _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: _____

Amended Offense Level: _____

Criminal History Category: _____

Criminal History Category: _____

Previous Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

Amended Guideline Range: _____ to _____ months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☐ Other (explain): _____

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Defendant's Motion is denied because Defendant's prison record shows a pattern of obstructive behavior and an ongoing disregard for prison rules and lawful authority.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 3/28/2000 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 3-24-08

Effective Date: 3-24-08
(if different from order date)


Judge's signature

Jackson Kiser, Senior United States District Judge
Printed name and title